## Langford-Yates Fellowship: Proposal Evaluation Rubric

Applicant name:				Title of Project:	
Reviewer na	me:			Total score:	
Ratir					Comments
Research Topi	<b>c</b> (Relevance a	and creativity of	concept; Significand	ce of project to faculty	
development, s	tudent develo	pment and to LL	J)		
1	2	3	4	5	
poor	fair	good	10		
	-	•		overview of the issue that	
is being explore	d; provides a	convincing suppo	ort for the purpose	of the proposed study).	
1	2	3	4	5	
poor	fair	good	very good	excellent	
	-		1 2	are measurable and	
achievable)		,,	<b>,</b>		
1	2	3	4	5	
poor	fair	good	very good	excellent	
Research ques	tions (Resea		arly delineated wit	h an appropriate	
•	•	•	•	rame and context of the	
study)					
1	2	3	4	5	
Poor	fair	good	very good	excellent	
Methodology	(Design and p	rocedures adequ	ate to support the	study's objectives and are	
all fully support	ed by the liter	ature review. Sc	ope of project feas	ible regarding time and	
resource limits)					
1	2	3	4	5	
poor	fair	good	very good	excellent	
Expected Outo	<b>comes</b> (These	are either tied t	o a specific objectiv	e or are cumulative	
results of stated	l objectives)				
1	2	3	4	5	
poor	fair	good	very good	excellent	
Mechanics, Or	ganization, a	and Technical A	spects of the Pro	posal	
	-	-	_	_	
	2	3	4	5	
poor	fair	good	very good	excellent	
	• •	<b>bosal</b> (Is the prop lge in the discipli		vative and will the results	
		<b>-</b>	1 - 1		
1	2	3	4	5	
poor	fair	good	very good	excellent	
· · ·		<u> </u>	, 0		